

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Nitrogen Removal in a Foam Media Biofilter for On-site Wastewater Treatment Systems

A thesis presented in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Engineering in Environmental Engineering at Massey University, Turitea Campus, Palmerston North, Aotearoa/New Zealand.

David Rei Miller

2005

Abstract

Discharges of nitrogen can contaminate groundwater, and cause algal blooms or eutrophication in surface waters. On-site wastewater treatment systems (OWTS) have been identified as significant sources of nitrogen. Homeowners and manufacturers are under increasing pressure to install OWTS capable of effective nitrogen removal.

Biological nitrogen removal in OWTS usually takes place in a fixed growth biofilter, following primary treatment in a septic tank arrangement. Three configurations of OWTS using foam media biofilters were assessed in the field. Foam media has advantages over sand, as high porosity and large air gaps allow the simultaneous flow of wastewater and air, thus reducing clogging and allowing higher loading rates.

Septic tank effluent had lower concentrations of TSS, COD and TN in configurations with larger tank volume. Biofilters provided additional removal of TSS and COD to give effluent concentrations as low as 9 mg/L and 36 mg/L respectively. TN concentration in the effluent varied from 41-53 mg/L depending on configuration. The least nitrogen removal occurred in the configuration with the highest loading rate (in terms of $\text{L}/\text{m}^2/\text{d}$).

A bench-scale biofilter constructed using a single foam block (200 x 160 x 60 mm) achieved TN removal up to 10.7 mg/L (0.024 g-N/d at a dosing rate of 2.2 L/d). It was observed that nitrification and denitrification can both occur in a single foam block. Assimilation was also a significant nitrogen removal mechanism, accounting for up to 49 % of total removal.

DO concentrations at microenvironments within the bench-scale biofilter were determined using a miniature membrane electrode. A syringe needle and custom-made plunger with the electrode fitted inside allowed DO concentration to be determined in sample volumes as small as 1 mL. The empirical equation derived to calculate DO concentration was accurate to within $\pm 2.9 \%$.

The extent of nitrification was greatest after an overnight rest period. At microenvironments within the bench-scale biofilter, nitrification increased at longer hydraulic residence time. Nitrification increased at high feed concentrations of carbon, which was not expected, and did not decrease at DO concentrations as low as 0.88 mg/L.

Denitrification was greatest when feed was high in carbon and low in DO, but was not affected by DO concentrations as high as 2.70 mg/L.

The effects of loading rate, biofilter depth, recirculation ratio and flooding need to be investigated further to optimise the design of biofilters in the field.

Acknowledgements

Thanks firstly to God for making all of this possible, for watching over myself and my family throughout the time it has taken to complete this thesis, and for guiding my steps with his loving hand.

I have greatly appreciated the guidance and support of my supervisor, Dr Steven Pratt. Steve was able to patiently supply feedback on all of my many questions, and I wish him well for a long and fruitful academic career.

Many thanks to Technology New Zealand, who provided a stipend in the form of a Technology in Industry Fellowship. I am also grateful to Lake Taupo Charitable Trust and Tuaropaki Trust for their support and encouragement throughout my time at university. Without financial assistance from these organisations, completion of this thesis would have been extremely difficult, if not impossible.

The staff and postgraduate students of the Centre for Environmental Technology & Engineering helped greatly, whether answering technical questions or providing moral support and understanding. Thanks to Dr Andy Shilton, Dr Ibrahim Elmetri, Dr Graham Manderson, Babar Mahmood, Sumit Banker, Nicola Powell, Leon Chen and Selina Zhang. Thanks especially to Kenny Wong, for researching and making the micronutrient solution used for the bench-scale biofilter.

The technical staff who made this all possible were John Sykes, Ann-Marie Jackson, Bruce Collins, Craig Bellhouse, Humphrey O'Hagan and Russell Watson. Thanks also to Joan Brookes, Lisa Valenzuela, Julie Mudford, Gayle Leader, Trish O'Grady and Tom Quelch of the Institute of Technology & Engineering for dealing with all administration matters with speed and professionalism.

Selection of the miniature electrode for DO measurement was performed with the assistance of Dr Alan Hart, Gabe Redding and Hamish Harding, who also provided a wealth of technical support.

Thanks to Mike Crump of the NIWA Inorganic Chemistry Lab in Hamilton for his prompt testing of FIA samples.

Best wishes to Marie Russell and the many others who made me feel part of a real community, through the College of Sciences tutoring programme, and also through my involvement with the Māori community at Massey.

I can never say thank you enough to all my friends and family, for their positive encouragement throughout this time, and always. I have relied on this a great deal during my studies. Anthony Naganathan showed commendable concern for my progress on this thesis, and will no doubt be as happy as I am that it is now complete. Nanny, Betty Winiata, is sadly not here to share this with me. But the love, support and friendship she has shown me in the past helped greatly in setting my feet on this path.

A special mention must be made of my parents, Marilyn and Grant Miller, who besides caring for me as their son and always taking an interest in my work, also worked extremely hard themselves to enable me to achieve this goal. I am very pleased to be able to share this with them now.

Most importantly, I have received the greatest gifts of all from my wife Sharmin and son Kāhu. Sharmin and Kāhu have been everything to me: a source of pride and happiness, the rainbow after every cloudy research day, my strong foundation, and the springboard to any success that I may have achieved. I love you, and thank you.

Abbreviations

Amm-N	ammonia-nitrogen ($\text{NH}_3/\text{NH}_4^+$)
AOB	ammonia-oxidising bacteria
AS	activated sludge
AUF	anaerobic upflow filter
BNR	biological nitrogen removal
BOD	biological oxygen demand
BOD ₅	biological oxygen demand (5 day)
COD	chemical oxygen demand
FISH	fluorescence <i>in situ</i> hybridisation
FSS	fixed suspended solids
HLR	hydraulic loading rate
HRT	hydraulic residence time
ISF	intermittent sand filter
LGA	local government authority
MABR	membrane aerated biofilm reactor
MBR	membrane biofilm reactor
N	nitrogen
Nit-N	nitrified nitrogen ($\text{NO}_2^-/\text{NO}_3^-$)
NOB	nitrite-oxidising bacteria
NTF	nitrifying trickling filter
OLR	organic loading rate
Org-N	organic nitrogen
OWTS	on-site wastewater treatment system
PPD	per person per day
PPY	per person per year
RSF	recirculating sand filter
SAF	soil absorption field
SND	simultaneous nitrification and denitrification
SS	suspended solids
STE	septic tank effluent
TKN	total Kjeldahl nitrogen (sum of Org-N and Amm-N)
TN	total nitrogen
TOC	total organic carbon
TSS	total suspended solids
VSS	volatile suspended solids
WWTP	wastewater treatment plant

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Literature Review	3
2.1	Introduction	3
2.2	Nitrogen in Domestic Wastewater	3
2.2.1	Nitrogen Loading and Concentration	6
2.3	On-site Wastewater Treatment Systems (OWTS)	8
2.3.1	Basic OWTS (Septic Tanks)	9
2.4	Nitrogen Removal Mechanisms	11
2.4.1	Ammonification	11
2.4.2	Biological Assimilation	11
2.4.3	Mineralisation and Uptake/Release	11
2.4.4	Ammonia Stripping	12
2.4.5	Ion Exchange	13
2.4.6	Biological Nitrogen Removal (BNR)	14
2.5	Biological Nitrogen Removal in OWTS	17
2.5.1	Trickling Filters	17
2.5.2	Aerated Treatment Plants	23
2.5.3	Peat Filters	24
2.5.4	Rock Media	25
2.5.5	Plant Uptake	25
2.5.6	Anaerobic Upflow Filters (AUF)	26
2.6	Factors Affecting BNR	26
2.6.1	Dissolved Oxygen (DO)	26
2.6.2	Carbon Availability	29
2.6.3	Other Wastewater Constituents	30
2.6.4	Growth of Microorganisms	34
2.6.5	Hydraulic Flow Effects	36
2.6.6	Mass Transfer	39
2.7	Summary	40
2.8	Research Questions	41

3	Methods	42
3.1	Introduction	42
3.2	Field Configurations	42
3.2.1	General Description	42
3.2.2	Septic Tank Arrangement	43
3.2.3	Foam Media Biofilter Arrangement	44
3.3	Bench-Scale Biofilter	47
3.4	Sampling Methods	49
3.4.1	Field Sampling Method	49
3.4.2	Lab Sampling Method	52
3.5	Analytical Methods	53
3.5.1	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	53
3.5.2	Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	53
3.5.3	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)	54
3.5.4	Ammonia (Amm-N)	54
3.5.5	Anion Analysis	55
3.5.6	Temperature, Dissolved Oxygen (DO)	56
3.5.7	pH	56
3.5.8	Foam Media	57
4	Method for DO Measurement in Foam Media	58
4.1	Introduction	58
4.2	DO Measurement Techniques	58
4.2.1	Dissolved Oxygen (DO)	58
4.2.2	Method 1: Winkler Titration	61
4.2.3	Method 2a: Standard Membrane Electrodes	62
4.2.4	Method 2b: Miniature Membrane Electrodes	64
4.2.5	Method 3: Microelectrodes	65
4.2.6	Alternative Methods	67
4.3	Use of Miniature Membrane Electrode	69
4.3.1	Experimental Setup	69
4.3.2	Electrode Preparation	70
4.3.3	Electrode Calibration	71
4.3.4	Factors Affecting C^*	74

4.4	Method Development	75
4.4.1	Sampling Method	75
4.4.2	DO Measurement Method	77
4.5	Validation of Method	78
4.5.1	Accuracy and Repeatability	78
4.5.2	Sampling and Measurement Method	79
4.5.3	Discussion of Method	82
5	Results	83
5.1	Introduction	83
5.2	Wastewater Composition in Septic Tank	83
5.2.1	Septic Tank Samples	83
5.2.2	Septic Tank Effluent	86
5.3	Biofilter Performance	88
5.3.1	Carbon and Suspended Solids Removal	88
5.3.2	Nitrogen Removal	90
5.4	Hydraulic Flow	93
5.4.1	Hydraulic Residence Time (HRT)	93
5.4.2	Flow Variation	94
5.5	Microenvironments in Foam Media	95
5.5.1	Nitrogen Concentration	95
5.5.2	Ac ⁻ Concentration	96
5.6	DO Concentration	97
5.6.1	Feed Concentration	97
5.6.2	Concentration in Microenvironments	98
6	Discussion	100
6.1	Introduction	100
6.2	Field Configurations	100
6.2.1	Septic Tank Performance	100
6.2.2	Biofilter Performance	101
6.2.3	Effluent Quality	105
6.3	Nitrogen Removal	106
6.3.1	Mechanisms of Nitrogen Removal	107
6.4	Nitrification in Foam Media Biofilter	111

6.4.1	Effect of Feed Composition	111
6.4.2	Effect of Hydraulic Residence Time (HRT)	111
6.4.3	Effect of DO Concentration	113
6.4.4	Factors Affecting DO Concentration	115
6.4.5	Significance of Other Factors	117
6.5	Denitrification in Foam Media Biofilter	120
6.5.1	Effect of Feed Composition	120
6.5.2	Effect of Carbon Availability	120
6.5.3	Effect of DO Concentration at Nodes	121
7	Conclusion	122
	References	126

List of Figures

Figure 2.2.1	The nitrogen cycle (USEPA, 1993)	4
Figure 2.2.2	Average hourly flow to an OWTS (Edvardsson & Spears, 2000)	7
Figure 2.4.1	Concentration profiles of O_2 , NO_2^- and NO_3^- in AS flocs (Schramm et al, 1999)	17
Figure 2.5.1	Air gaps between blocks in a foam media biofilter	21
Figure 2.5.2	Foam media, showing large pores and thin walls (Jowett & McMaster, 1995)	22
Figure 2.5.3	Effluent nitrogen, sand filters vs peat filters (Winkler & Veneman, 1991)	24
Figure 2.6.1	Nitrification rate vs bulk oxygen concentration (Okey & Albertson, 1989a)	28
Figure 2.6.2	Effect of temperature on nitrification rate for tower trickling filters (Tchobanoglous et al, 2003 after Parker et al, 1990)	31
Figure 2.6.3	Wetted and non-wetted areas of biofilm (adapted from Zhu et al, 2001)	36
Figure 2.6.4	DO concentration in wetted vs non-wetted biofilm (Zhu et al, 2001)	37
Figure 3.2.1	Cutaway plan view of configuration F1, showing division into treatment stages	43
Figure 3.2.2	Arrangement of foam blocks in biofilter (configuration F1)	45
Figure 3.2.3	Arrangement of foam blocks in biofilter (configuration F2)	45
Figure 3.2.4	Arrangement of foam blocks in biofilters (configuration F3)	46
Figure 3.3.1	Bench-scale biofilter	47
Figure 3.4.1	Division of foam block into nodes	52
Figure 4.2.1	YSI Model 5775 electrode and Model 57 meter	63
Figure 4.2.2	YSI Model 5775 electrode	64
Figure 4.2.3	Diamond General 733 electrode	64
Figure 4.2.4	Characteristic curve of Diamond General 733	65
Figure 4.2.5	Oxygen microelectrode construction (Revsbech, 1989)	66
Figure 4.2.6	NO_2^- , NO_3^- and O_2 in aggregate from fluidised bed reactor (de Beer et al, 1997)	67
Figure 4.3.1	Schematic of electrode setup	70
Figure 4.3.2	Diamond General 733 electrode and membrane housing	70

Figure 4.3.3	Calibration chamber	72
Figure 4.3.4	Standard curve for Diamond General 733	72
Figure 4.3.5	Effect of temperature on current readings	73
Figure 4.3.6	Proportionality constant at various temperatures	73
Figure 4.4.1	Plunger/electrode apparatus with needle syringe	77
Figure 4.5.1	DO concentration: miniature electrode/empirical equation vs actual	78
Figure 4.5.2	Comparison of electrodes	79
Figure 5.2.1	Average COD and sCOD concentrations in septic tanks	84
Figure 5.2.2	Average TSS, Amm-N, Org-N and Nit-N concentrations in septic tanks	84
Figure 5.2.3	Variation of COD, sCOD and Ac^- with depth in septic tank	85
Figure 5.2.4	Variation of Amm-N, Nit-N and Org-N with depth in septic tank	86
Figure 5.2.5	Average COD and sCOD concentrations in STE	87
Figure 5.2.6	Average TSS, Amm-N, Org-N and Nit-N concentrations in STE	87
Figure 5.3.1	COD concentrations in feed to and effluent from biofilters in field	88
Figure 5.3.2	TSS concentrations in feed to and effluent from biofilters in field	89
Figure 5.3.3	Ac^- concentrations in feed and effluent for bench-scale biofilter	89
Figure 5.3.4	Average TN concentration in biofilter feed and effluent	90
Figure 5.3.5	Concentrations of Amm-N, Nit-N and Org-N in effluent	91
Figure 5.3.6	Effluent Nit-N concentration at 10 AM, 1 PM and 3 PM	92
Figure 5.3.7	Effluent Amm-N concentration at 10 AM, 1 PM and 3 PM	92
Figure 5.4.1	Hydraulic study	93
Figure 5.4.2	Cl^- concentrations in columns 1, 2, 3 and 4	94
Figure 5.5.1	Frontal views of Amm-N concentration in nodes (mg/L)	96
Figure 5.5.2	Frontal views of Nit-N accumulation in nodes (mg/L)	96
Figure 5.5.3	Frontal views of Ac^- concentration at nodes (mg/L)	97
Figure 5.6.1	Effect of distributor on DO concentration: L2, F1, F2 and F3	97
Figure 5.6.2	Frontal views of DO concentration in nodes (mg/L)	98
Figure 6.2.1	Effect of biofilter dimensions and dosing on Amm-N removal	102
Figure 6.2.2	Weight of biomass growth and water held on small foam blocks	103
Figure 6.2.3	Correlation of sCOD concentration in feed with Amm-N removal for biofilters	105
Figure 6.3.1	Amm-N removal vs Ac^- removal (studies L1 to L5)	108
Figure 6.3.2	Amm-N removal due to assimilation (studies L1 to L5)	109
Figure 6.4.1	Effect of HRT on Nit-N accumulation (study L1)	112
Figure 6.4.2	Ac^- concentration according to HRT (study L2)	113

Figure 6.4.3	Concentrations of Nit-N and DO at each node (study L1)	114
Figure 6.4.4	Feed DO concentration vs average node DO concentration (studies L1 to L5)	115
Figure 6.4.5	Average DO removal vs Ac^- removal (studies L1 to L5)	116
Figure 6.4.6	Average DO concentrations in bench-scale biofilter	117
Figure 6.4.7	Nitrification at various feed concentrations of Ac^- (studies L1 to L5)	118
Figure 6.5.1	Effect of carbon availability on Nit-N accumulation at nodes (study L1)	121

List of Tables

Table 2.2.1	Total nitrogen (TN) loading (per capita per day)	7
Table 2.2.2	Average influent concentrations of TN to OWTS	8
Table 2.3.1	Concentration of TN, TSS and BOD ₅ in septic tank effluent	10
Table 2.4.1	Concentration of NH ₃ at varying pH and NH ₃ /NH ₄ ⁺ concentration	13
Table 2.5.1	Nitrogen removal performance of sand filters (Hoover <i>et al.</i> , 1991)	18
Table 2.5.2	Effluent concentrations of TSS, BOD ₅ , TN and NH ₃ /NH ₄ ⁺ from RSF systems	19
Table 2.5.3	Nitrogen removal in RSF vs single-pass sand filter (Loomis <i>et al.</i> , 2001a)	20
Table 2.5.4	Properties of sand and foam media (Jowett & McMaster, 1995)	23
Table 2.5.5	Performance of EnviroServer 600 aerated plant (Edvardsson & Spears, 2000)	23
Table 2.5.6	Effect of upflow filter on effluent quality (Ball, 1994)	26
Table 2.6.1	Effects of carbon source on denitrification in rock tanks (Lamb <i>et al.</i> , 1990)	30
Table 2.6.2	N recovery at various C:N ratios (Okabe <i>et al.</i> , 1999)	30
Table 2.6.3	Optimum pH reported for nitrification and denitrification	32
Table 2.6.4	Alkalinity consumption and pH decrease across sand filter (Lamb <i>et al.</i> , 1990)	33
Table 2.6.5	NH ₃ concentrations inhibiting nitrification (Anthonisen <i>et al.</i> , 1976)	34
Table 2.6.6	Effect of recirculation ratio on nitrogen removal	38
Table 3.2.1	Septic tank details for each configuration	43
Table 3.2.2	Biofilter dimensions in each configuration	44
Table 3.3.1	Synthetic wastewater composition (macronutrients)	48
Table 3.4.1	Details of systems sampled	50
Table 3.5.1	Accuracy of ion chromatography at various concentrations	56
Table 3.5.2	Decrease in anion concentration after 1 month storage at 25 °C	56
Table 4.3.1	Specific conductivity and salinity of test solutions	74
Table 4.5.1	Validation of sampling method	80
Table 5.4.1	Variations in flowrate and hydraulic retention time (HRT)	95
Table 6.2.1	Comparison of septic tank effluent with averages from literature	100
Table 6.2.2	Overall performance of biofilters in field	101

Table 6.2.3	Dimensions and dosing for each biofilter	102
Table 6.2.4	Effect of DO concentration in feed to biofilters on Amm-N removal	104
Table 6.2.5	Composition of effluent from field configurations	105
Table 6.2.6	TN removal observed in field and from literature	106
Table 6.3.1	Nitrogen removal mechanisms (concentrations in mg/L)	110
Table 6.4.1	Effect of feed composition on nitrification	111
Table 6.4.2	Effect of temperature on Amm-N removal (configurations F1 to F3)	119
Table 6.5.1	Effect of feed composition on denitrification	120